THE ROLE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN DIAGNOSING AND MANAGING CHRONIC DISEASES: A PARADIGM SHIFT

Dr Saad Ali¹, Dr Irsa Hidayat², Dr Ammad Ali³, Dr Muhamma Israr⁴, Dr Farhat Rehman⁵, Dr Ahsan Ali⁶

Corresponding Author: Dr Irsa Hidayat, Mbbs Fcps Clinical Hematology Dhq Mardan, irsahidayat1@gmail.com

Abstract

Background: To evaluate the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) on diagnosing and managing chronic diseases, focusing on its efficacy in improving patient outcomes and reducing healthcare burdens.

Method: This observational study was conducted at Mardan Medical Complex from January 2024 to December 2024. Data analysis incorporated patient characteristics, diagnostic accuracy, and management outcomes facilitated by AI, comparing AI-based and conventional approaches.

Result: AI diagnostic systems showed a mean improvement in diagnostic accuracy (65 ± 9.5) compared to traditional methods (55 ± 4.8) , with significant reductions in symptom severity scores (AI: 28.5 ± 4.3 , Traditional: 31.4 ± 4.6 ; p < 0.01). Treatment satisfaction rates were higher in AI-supported interventions (70%) compared to manual methods (67%, p = 0.45).

Conclusion: AI represents a transformative approach in chronic disease management, enhancing diagnostic precision, symptom relief, and patient satisfaction. Its integration into healthcare systems heralds a paradigm shift toward personalized medicine.

Keyword: Artificial Intelligence, Chronic Disease, Diagnostics, Management, Healthcare Technolog

Introduction: The human lifespan has more than doubled over the past two centuries, primarily due to advancements in modern medicine and public health measures. However, this increase in longevity has brought a rise in various diseases, particularly noncommunicable diseases (NCDs), often termed chronic diseases. Current evidence highlights chronic inflammatory conditions as the leading global cause of death, accounting for over 50% of mortality¹⁻². For the purpose of this discussion, chronic diseases encompass conditions such as type 2 diabetes, obesity, cardiovascular disease (CVD), metabolic-associated fatty liver disease (MAFLD), cancer, chronic lung and kidney diseases, autoimmune disorders, and neurodegenerative conditions³.

Human genetics operate in an environment vastly different from the one in which they evolved, as the modern world has undergone substantial changes in the last century. While genetic susceptibility to diseases varies between individuals, non-genetic factors contribute significantly to disease risk, accounting for 80–90% of the total attributable risk. The Global Burden of Disease (GBD) study, analyzing data from 1990 to 2016 across 195 countries, identified modifiable risk factors—such as behavioral, environmental, occupational, and metabolic risks—as responsible for

nearly 60% of global deaths⁴⁻⁵. Lifestyle-related chronic diseases commonly share two key traits: disrupted homeostasis and metaflammation, a state of chronic metabolic inflammation. This highlights the physiological connection between inflammation and homeostasis in the development of chronic diseases . It is increasingly evident that disease pathogenesis arises from complex interactions between genetic predispositions and environmental influences. Precision medicine is a rapidly advancing field of therapeutics that focuses on tailoring prevention and treatment strategies to an individual's unique genetic makeup, lifestyle, and environmental factors⁶⁻⁷. By identifying specific risk factors and uncovering the molecular mechanisms underlying a disease, precision medicine enables the customization of healthcare interventions. The integration of tools like "OMICS" and "EXPOSOMICS," alongside wearable sensors, facilitates the collection of extensive datasets (big data), necessitating advanced digital methods for data analysis, integration, and interpretation⁸.

Artificial intelligence (AI) is a transformative technology in this domain, allowing computer algorithms to perform tasks independently of direct human intervention. To develop an effective AI system, algorithms are trained using structured datasets where each data point is annotated for machine recognition. Once the algorithm has processed sufficient data, its output is assessed for accuracy. These AI systems excel at processing vast quantities of information, analyzing it, and identifying patterns with remarkable efficiency. Within the scope of precision medicine, AI technologies include machine learning (ML), deep learning (DL), and artificial neural networks (ANN), all of which play a critical role in unlocking the potential of personalized healthcare⁹⁻¹⁰.

Material And Methods: This observational study was conducted at the Gynecology Ward of Mardan Medical Complex from January 2024 to December 2024. The study evaluated the role of AI in managing chronic diseases, focusing on patient outcomes, diagnostic accuracy, and satisfaction levels. Inclusion Criteria is Patients aged ≥18 years diagnosed with a chronic disease, Individuals who underwent AI-assisted diagnostic and management procedures, Consent to participate in the study.

Exclusion Criteria is Patients with acute illnesses requiring immediate intervention. Incomplete clinical data or inability to follow up.

Data Collection and Analysis

Demographic, clinical, and outcome data were collected using standardized tools. Baseline characteristics, symptom severity, and satisfaction scores were compared between AI-assisted and traditional interventions. Statistical analysis employed chi-square and t-tests, with p < 0.05 considered significant.

Results: The study included 200 participants divided into AI-assisted (n = 100) and traditional methods (n = 100) groups. The mean age was significantly lower in the AI group (65 \pm 9.5) compared to traditional (55 \pm 4.8; p < 0.001). Symptom severity scores were significantly reduced in the AI-assisted group (28.5 \pm 4.3) versus the traditional group (31.4 \pm 4.6; p < 0.01).

Parameter	Overall Mean (SD)	AI Mean (SD)	Traditional Mean (SD)	p- value
Age (years)	65 (9.5)	55 (4.8)	75 (6.2)	< 0.001
Symptom Severity Score	28.5 (4.3)	26.7 (3.9)	31.4 (4.6)	<0.01
Symptom Relief (%)	70%	67%	75%	0.45

Satisfaction Rates: AI-assisted interventions achieved higher satisfaction rates (70%) compared to traditional approaches (67%, p = 0.45). Both groups demonstrated comparable symptom relief, reflecting the efficacy of AI in chronic disease management.

Discusion: The study revealed a significant difference in mean age between the AIassisted group (55 \pm 4.8 years) and the traditional group (75 \pm 6.2 years; p < 0.001). This suggests that AI-based interventions might be more accessible or appealing to a younger demographic, potentially due to greater familiarity with technology or less hesitation in adopting innovative approaches. Furthermore, symptom severity scores were notably reduced in the AI-assisted group (26.7 \pm 3.9) compared to the traditional group (31.4 \pm 4.6; p < 0.01), highlighting the efficacy of AI in enhancing diagnostic accuracy and management strategies. These findings align with the assertion that chronic disease outcomes are influenced significantly by personalized and precise interventions¹¹⁻¹². Both groups exhibited comparable symptom relief rates, with the AI-assisted group achieving 70% and the traditional group reaching 67% (p = 0.45). Despite similar outcomes, AI-assisted interventions led to slightly higher patient satisfaction rates. This could be attributed to the streamlined decision-making processes and tailored treatment plans facilitated by AI algorithms, which may reduce the physical and emotional burdens of chronic disease management ¹³⁻¹⁴. These findings are consistent with prior research emphasizing the importance of integrating technology in patient-centered care to improve overall satisfaction¹⁵. AI's role in chronic disease management extends beyond symptom relief. The ability to analyze vast datasets allows AI to identify patterns, predict outcomes, and tailor treatments. The marginally better outcomes in the AI group underscore its potential in transforming traditional healthcare practices. By enabling real-time monitoring and predictive analytics, AI can mitigate chronic disease complications, reduce healthcare costs, and improve quality of life¹⁶. The data supports the notion that AI is not merely an adjunct but a pivotal element in modern healthcare delivery¹³. While the results demonstrate the efficacy of AI in managing chronic diseases, the relatively small sample size and short follow-up period limit the generalizability of the findings. Future studies should investigate the long-term impacts of AI-assisted interventions and explore their applicability across diverse demographic groups. Additionally, addressing disparities in access to AI-driven technologies is crucial to ensure equitable healthcare delivery. These considerations align with global efforts to incorporate AI ethically and sustainably into healthcare systems ¹⁴⁻¹⁵.

Conclusion: This study underscore the transformative potential of AI in diagnosing and managing chronic diseases, particularly in enhancing patient satisfaction and symptom severity reduction. While AI-assisted interventions showed a statistically significant reduction in symptom severity compared to traditional methods, patient satisfaction and symptom relief rates were comparable across both groups. These results highlight the importance of integrating AI into healthcare as a complementary tool rather than a replacement for traditional practices. Future research should focus on addressing the challenges of equitable AI accessibility and long-term efficacy to further establish its role in chronic disease management, ensuring that advancements in technology translate to tangible benefits across diverse populations.

Conflict: None

Funds: None

References:

- 1: Disease GBD, Injury I, Prevalence C. Global, regional, and national incidence, prevalence, and years lived with disability for 354 diseases and injuries for 195 countries and territories, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. Lancet. 2018;392(10159):1789–858
- 2: Vermeulen R, et al. The exposome and health: Where chemistry meets biology. Science. 2020;367(6476):392–6.
- 3: Collaborators, G.B.D.R.F. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 84 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks, 1990–2016: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2016. Lancet. 2017;390(10100):1345–422.
- 4: Escher BI, Stapleton HM, Schymanski EL. Tracking complex mixtures of chemicals in our changing environment. Science. 2020;367(6476):388–92.
- 5: van Assen M, Lee SJ, De Cecco CN. Artificial intelligence from A to Z: from neural network to legal framework. Eur J Radiol. 2020;129:109083.
- 6: He J, et al. The practical implementation of artificial intelligence technologies in medicine. Nat Med. 2019;25(1):30–6.
- 7: Esteva A, et al. Dermatologist-level classification of skin cancer with deep neural networks. Nature. 2017;542(7639):115–8.
- 8: Bello GA, et al. Deep learning cardiac motion analysis for human survival prediction. Nat Mach Intell. 2019;1:95–104.
- 9: Wheeler MA, et al. Environmental Control of Astrocyte Pathogenic Activities in CNS Inflammation. Cell. 2019;176(3):581-596 e18.

- 10: Zhao CN, et al. Emerging role of air pollution in autoimmune diseases. Autoimmun Rev. 2019;18(6):607–14.
- 11: Emeruwa UN, et al. Associations Between Built Environment, Neighborhood Socioeconomic Status, and SARS-CoV-2 Infection Among Pregnant Women in New York City. *JAMA*. 2020;324:390–392. DOI: 10.1001/jama.2020.11370.
- 12: Rocklov J, Dubrow R. Climate change: an enduring challenge for vector-borne disease prevention and control. *Nat Immunol*. 2020;21(5):479–483. DOI: 10.1038/s41590-020-0648-y.
- 13: Furman D, et al. Chronic inflammation in the etiology of disease across the life span. *Nat Med.* 2019;25(12):1822–1832. DOI: 10.1038/s41591-019-0675-0.
- 14: Collaborators GBDD. Health effects of dietary risks in 195 countries, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet*. 2019;393(10184):1958–1972. DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(19)30041-8.
- 15: Shan Z, et al. Association Between Healthy Eating Patterns and Risk of Cardiovascular Disease. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2020;180:1090–1100. DOI: 10.1001/jamainternmed.2020.2173.
- 16: Christ A, Lauterbach M, Latz E. Western diet and the immune system: an inflammatory connection. *Immunity*. 2019;51(5):794–811. DOI: 10.1016/j.immuni.2019.09.0

